

Chapter 10

Legislation, made easy.

Lawmakers, Esquire Lawyer and Attorney Legislators are involved in the violation of many other of the guarantees in the constitution, for their own benefit, such as the violation of **Article 1 section 9, paragraph 3 ;**

"No Bill of Attainder or Ex post facto Law shall be passed."

What is a bill of attainder?

Ohio Jurisprudence book 10, 2nd edition, subsection 262 , 2nd paragraph states;

“ The Constitution of the United States prohibits Congress and the states from passing a bill of attainder....”

Paragraph 3 states;

“A bill of attainder is a legislative act which inflicts punishment without a judicial trial.”

It does say Judicial trial not administrative trial. Are the trials that they involve you in for a violation of a code like the Ohio Revised Code, judicial proceedings or administrative proceedings? They are administrative proceedings.

“A bill of attainder is a legislative act which inflicts punishment without judicial trial.” “If the punishment be less than death, the act is termed a bill of pains and penalties. In these cases, the legislative body, in addition to its legitimate functions, exercises the powers and office of judge, it assumes, in the language of the text books, judicial magistracy; it pronounces upon the guilt of the party, without any of the forms or safeguards of trial; it determines the sufficiency of the proofs produced, whether conformable to the rules of evidence or otherwise, and it fixes the degree of punishment in accordance with its own notions of the enormity of the offence.” **Cummings, supra, p.323**

Do these courts inflict punishment without the aid of judicial proceedings or a jury ? You bet they do !

The Statutes, or the “Code” are what they use to prosecute you with in today’s courts, and they call them “the Laws.” Just what is a statute law anyway ?

Blacks fourth defines a **Statute** :

“An act of the legislature declaring, commanding, or prohibiting something; a particular law enacted and established by the will of the legislative department of government.”

Any one reading the above would believe the statutes to be the law of the state. But what if the statutes passed are passed by a de facto legislature consisting mostly of Esquires, operating under military emergency war powers, that are contrary to the constitution ?

Blacks Law goes on to say;
“This word (statute) is used to designate the written law in contradistinction to the unwritten law.”

Further down Blacks Law continues;
“Statute” also sometimes means a kind of bond or obligation of record, being an abbreviation for “statute merchant” or “statute staple.”

Under Staple in the general usage of the term Blacks defines staple : Law of staple. “Law administered in the court of the mayor of the staple; the law-merchant.”

So from these definitions from the Law Dictionary we find that a Statute is some kind of a Bond under the Law Merchant, which is the same as Maritime Law or the Uniform Commercial Code as they are the same law. They are strictly a Federal Jurisdiction according to the Judicial Act of 1789 (Benedict on Admiralty)

So, if the State you live in is operating under Statute Law could it really be the Republic State ? Or is it The State of a sub-division of the Federal Corporation, the Lawyers Democracy and what happened to the constitutional Rights under the Democracy ?

Today's courts are administrative criminal proceedings with suits (Bills of Attainder) proceeded against in a Court of “public policy” (the law -merchant) because a breach of the code constitutes a bond breach which makes you a criminal for going 1 mile over the speed limit. That is why you are tried as a criminal in a traffic violation, even though no one was injured in the incident, what ever it was .

How many laws (Code Sections) have been passed that inflict a punishment without a judicial trial? How about the punishment inflicted without a trial for the violation of state driving codes, like not wearing your seat belt, that carries a punishment in the form of a fine of \$51.00 or a parking ticket that carries the punishment of a fine of \$25.00 or \$50.00 or the other violations of the code that institute a punishment without a judicial trial.

They are all Bills of Attainder, (bill of pains and penalty) all illegal, (legal under defacto government) yet they say YOU are the criminal for breaching the CODE that instituted the supposed crime. In most cases the CODES are NOT LAW, as there is no enactment clause on the record from the legislature to make the code law. When this happens, which is a lot of the time, that section of the CODE is not LAW but a mere rule of the Corporate State, a Statute.

However, you made it your law when you made your commercial presentment, and the Corporate Government, the State of Accepted your presentment for value, and you are required to perform, pursuant to the Corporation Rules. You got the Privileges, the use of the Federal Reserve Notes and the ability to discharge instead of paying the debts you owe, to name one, and you use the Notes without protest or lawful protest so you must agree with the position as economic slave to the banks. I guess ?

The problem is that you gave up your Rights to Lawful remedy and agreed to follow the rules instead of the LAW. You became a CORPORATE "PERSON" with privileges and duties and NO UNALIENABLE RIGHTS of the CONSTITUTION.

How, you ask, did that happen? YOU signed the commercial offers that placed YOU in this vulnerable position, YOU volunteered to give up your Rights when YOU failed to dispute the filing of your Birth Certificate, your (Title of Origin) with the U.S. Dept. of Commerce that established a constructive Cesti Que trust (Strawman) under the likeness of your name . YOU volunteered to become the fiduciary and responsible party, the agent for the “fiction in law” “Strawman” that the Esquires made up for you, and got YOU to get a Socialist Security Number (Mark of the Beast), YOU signed the Drivers license where YOU offered to contract into Commerce on the Highways, YOU signed up for the account at the Federal Reserve Bank to use the commercial paper currency they issue, instead of silver & gold, YOU checked the box that said YOU are a U.S. Citizen, declaring yourself to be the **enemy** of the UNITED STATES, placing you in Admiralty, under the WAR POWERS ACT, YOU signed up for the Mail Box Number that is also your Federal Enclave number where YOU are engaged in commerce, YOU signed the W-4 & 1040 form that declared YOU as a U.S. Individual Taxpayer and made YOU responsible for taxes due on an Income which YOU probably don't have, but said YOU did when YOU filled out the form and made the offer to pay. YOU gave it all up when you gave up your status as an **elector under the De Jure Republic** when you signed up for the “**franchise**” to become a **voter** under the Democracy, the De Facto government. YOU committed the act of a “breach of allegiance” which constitutes “Treason” against the Republic, which in turn makes you a criminal in the eyes of the law. So when they caught you going 3 miles per hour over the speed limit they tried you as the commercial criminal that You declared you are. Were YOU ever told any of this before YOU signed any of those offers? Furthermore did YOU ever read or question any of those things before YOU signed ?

Remember Fraud Vitiates all contracts, if the stipulations of the contracts were not divulged to you before you signed, then the contract (offer) is invalid. But YOU made the offers they just gave YOU the forms. YOU volunteered to fill them out and YOU did so, all in the name of the “strawman”. YOU never used your real name on the forms, thank god so they could not possibly hold YOU responsible, could they ?

Now the presumption lies against you that you are not a living human flesh but a mere “fiction in law” under the Admiralty/Maritime, international law merchant, and YOU can not produce any certified, exemplified evidence to present to a Admiralty/ Maritime Court to prove you are not an ENEMY, but a NEUTRAL. Can you ?

YOU never recorded any PUBLIC NOTICE or LAWFUL PROTEST or DEMAND FOR RETURN OF DEPOSITUM OF BAILMENT or DECLARATIONS of any kind against the presumption that lies against you, that you are an enemy, to disprove their position that you are an enemy/vessel and subject to the Admiralty Prize Law/ International Law Merchant, using foreign currency to discharge debt as a debtor. Have you?

So you must be what THEY say you are and are presumed to be when YOU end up in THEIR court.

Who are the ones really committing the Fraud ? Remember, under common law, if there is no complaint signed under penalty of perjury, alleging an injury, if there is no evidence, or two or more witnesses to support the injury, there is no crime and the Supreme Law of the Land the Common Law does not lend its self to “Crimes

against the STATE” actions in Admiralty/Maritime.

In the examples above there is never or seldom a proper complaint, and the only person that brings forth any supposed evidence is the arresting officer and he is also an officer of the court, not allowed, per his oath, to bring charges against or to testify against a citizen he has sworn to protect. But that takes place only under the Constitutional Common Law which you unknowingly gave up. It does not apply under the Law Merchant.

Does a court operating in bankruptcy have legal authority to bring charges against an inhabitant that has committed no crime that resulted in no injury to anyone and the only charging instrument is from the court bringing forth the charges ?

The charges were brought forward by an officer of the court, the Cop that wrote you the ticket is also an officer of the court as are the Lawyers, Attorneys, Judges, Clerks, Bailiffs, etc., and then the Judges and Lawyers and Attorneys are all, also, members of the Bar Association, a foreign principal/power.

I think that you could say that the Bar Association has indeed a Monopoly on what is supposed to be our Judicial Court System, but is not. Wouldn't you say ?

Besides, the charging instrument (the ticket) more than likely does not contain your Christian appellation , but the corporate Cesti Que Trust name of the (strawman), you know, the all CAPITAL LETTER NAME with middle Initial guy.

If the charging instrument has a *preset fine amount* for what ever

the supposed crime against the STATE was, the charging instrument is a Bill of Attainder or Bill of Pains and penalty and not allowed under constitutional law.

Part of the problem is this, the U. S. Department of Justice is a part of the Executive branch of the government. Janet Reno, the U.S. Attorney General answers directly to the President and she alone controls all of the U.S. Attorneys, thus all of the U.S. Attorneys are members of the executive branch and have absolutely no business bringing charges against state citizens and influencing decisions of the judiciary. Humans may engage in but are not themselves commerce nor is their Labor a Commodity or Article of Commerce, per 15 U.S.C.§17. This is a gross violation of the separation of powers guaranteed by the Constitution.

But it must be OK with YOU because you have never bitched about it to any one in an official capacity, or filed any PROTEST about it, have you ?

Under the bifurcation of the government and the courts, from civil to military in nature, and operating under prescription, with rules conglomerated together in a hodge podge, people are finally starting to realize something is amiss.

The courts no longer follow their own rules and because many of the decisions from these so called Judges are biased and based on some of the most ridiculous reasoning, even a layman knows that there is no Justice or remedy in today's courts.

Even the Judges themselves admit there is something amiss. On February 28th, 2003 U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, Judge Edith Jones told the Federalist Society of the Harvard Law School that:

“The American legal system has been corrupted almost beyond recognition.” “The integrity of law, its religious roots, its transcendent quality are disappearing.” “The first 100 years of American lawyers were trained on Blackstone, who wrote that: The law of nature dictated by God himself is binding in all countries and at all times; no human laws are of any validity if contrary to this; and such of them as are valid derive all force and all their authority from this original. The framers created a government of limited power with this understanding of the rule of law - that it is dependent on transcendent religious obligation, the founding fathers believed in faith and reason and this did not lead to intolerance. This is not a prescription for intolerance or narrow sectarianism, for unalienable rights were given by God to all our fellow citizens. Having lost sight of the moral and religious foundations of the rule of law, we are vulnerable to the destruction of our freedom, our equality before law and our self-respect.

“ The legal aristocracy have shed their professional independence for the temptations and materialism associated with becoming businessmen. Because law has become a self-avowed business, pressure mounts to give clients the advice they want to hear, to pander to the clients goal through deft manipulation of the law... While the business mentality produces certain benefits, like occasional competition to charge clients lower fees, other adverse effects include advertising and shameless self-promotion. The legal system has also been wounded by lawyers who themselves no longer respect the rule of law.”

“An increasingly visible and vocal number apparently believe that the strategic use of anger and incivility will achieve their aims. Others seem uninhibited about making misstatements to the court or their opponents or destroying or falsifying evidence...When lawyers cannot be trusted to observe the fair process essential to maintaining the rule of law , how can we expect the public to respect the process?”

“While the historic purpose of the common law was to compensate for individual injuries, this new litigation instead purports to achieve redistributive social justice. Scratch the surface of the attorneys’ self-serving press releases, however, and one finds how enormously profitable social redistribution is for those lawyers who call themselves ‘agents of change’, what social goal is achieved by transferring millions of dollars to the lawyers, while their clients obtain coupons or token rebates.”

The judge then quoted George Washington who asked in his Farewell Address, “Where is the security for property, for reputation, for life, if the sense of religious obligation deserts the oaths...in courts of justice?”

“Lawyers’ private morality has definite public consequences, their misbehavior feeds on itself, encouraging disrespect and debasement of the rule of law as the public become encouraged to press their own advantage in a system they perceive as manipulatable.”

“Agencies have an inherent tendency to expand their mandate, at the same time, their decision making often becomes parochial and short-sighted. They may be captured by the entities that are ostensibly being regulated, or they may pursue agency self-interest at the expense of the public welfare. Citizens left at the mercy of selective and unpredictable agency action have little recourse.”

“Throughout my professional life, American legal education has been ruled by theories like positivism, the residue of legal realism, critical legal studies, post-modernism and other philosophical fashions, each of these theories has a lot to say about the ‘is’ of law, but none of them addresses the ‘ought’, the moral foundation or direction of law.”

Jones said that all of these threats to the rule of law have a common thread running through them, and she quoted Professor Harold Berman to identify it: “The traditional Western beliefs in the structural integrity of law, its on goingness, its religious roots, its transcendent qualities, are disappearing not only from the minds of law teachers and law students but also from the consciousness of the vast majority of citizens, the people as a whole; and more than that, they are disappearing from the law itself. The law itself is becoming more fragmented, more subjective, geared more to expediency and less to morality...The historical soil of the Western legal tradition is being washed away...and the tradition itself is threatened with collapse.”

“Natural law is not a prescriptive way to solve problems, it is a way to look at life starting with the Ten Commandments.”

“Natural law provides a frame work for government that permits human freedom. If you take that away, what are you left with? Bodily senses? The will of the majority? The communist view? What is it - from each according to his ability, to each according to his need? I don't even remember it , thank the Lord.”

“Our legal system is way out of kilter, the tort litigating system is wreaking havoc. Look at any trials that have been conducted on T.V. These lawyers are willing to say anything.”

Edith Jones has a B.A. from Cornell and a J.D. from University of Texas, was appointed to the Fifth Circuit By President Reagan in 1985.

I could not agree with her more, Edith Jones should be given the Congressional medal of Honor for having the courage to say what she said, especially in today's political atmosphere.

There is nothing in the Constitution that allows the executive to interfere with the judiciary and there is no written delegation of authority that authorizes them to act under a non-existent regulation.

Furthermore, the people of this country have never elected any one of those people that work in the Department of Justice to their position of office. They were appointed by the President or other heads in the department. So do these people that work for the Department of Justice owe any allegiance to the American people for their job positions ? I don't think so. Do they care if Justice is served on the American people ? Do they lose anything or is their paycheck placed in jeopardy if Justice is not served ? I don't think so, they are all "Just doing their Job", right. None of these people

care what happens to their brother Americans, they are all "just doing their job" to help the Department of Just-Us slit the throat of the American people so their money and assets hemorrhage into the Federal Reserves coffers, so that the government employees can continue to uphold the bankruptcy and receive their paychecks.

Most of these people don't know the meaning of the word Justice and furthermore don't care what the meaning is, as long as the paychecks keep coming.

A quote from a well respected high ranking Federal Marshal at a Trial of a Friend of the Authors, in front of witnesses, agreed that "there was no such thing as Due Process of Law involved in the trial" he was attending . When asked why he didn't go in and arrest the Judge and Prosecutor, his response was " I only have a couple of years to go before I retire, I don't want to rock the boat".

He knew the court was a sham and failed in his job function and breached his oath of office and failed to correct the situation and as a result a man spent 18 Months in Jail for something he didn't do, and could prove he didn't, if he were allowed to present his case to an unbiased jury, in a unbiased court, with an unbiased Judge and prosecutor who wouldn't tamper with the witnesses or with the evidence, as they both did.

But if that happened the accused would have been acquitted and the county and the Judges coffers would not have made all the Money they did with the man in Jail. Don't tell me there is no such thing as a Political Prisoner in America, I know several. Like all the Branch Davidians all of whom were acquitted by a Jury, but the Judge did not like their decision and reversed the decision and put

them in Jail for 20 years to silence them. Or the Montana Freeman, what they were put through was not even close to a Fair and Impartial Trial.

Do the people of the Nation as a whole benefit from the activities of this Department of Just- Us or does the Government benefit the most ?

Law Enforcement is the biggest growth industry in America in the 1990's thru 2004.

Let's look at an example.

Defendant "A" steals the purse of victim "b" and the purse contains \$350.00 in Federal Reserve Notes. Defendant "A" is caught, has already spent the money (FRN'S) and is jailed for the offense . Defendant "A" is arraigned and bail is set at \$10,000.00. Defendant "A" cannot meet bail and is put in jail to await trial. Three months go by before defendant "A" is put on trial and found guilty of aggravated robbery and sentenced to 5 years and serves 1 ½ years in jail and \$1500.00 fine. First question, How much money did it cost the taxpayers to house Defendant "A" for the three months before trial ? Assuming that it only cost \$100.00 per day to house a prisoner, that would amount to \$9000.00. We could estimate the cost of adjudication at around say \$350.00 in court costs, that's a sub total of \$9350.00 to get a conviction. Now at just \$100.00 per day for 1 ½ years in Jail, would be 547 days x \$100.00=\$54,700.00 + \$9350.00=\$57,150.00, less his fine of \$1500.00= \$55,650.00 in total cost to the American people to punish a \$350.00 crime, if we are even close to the actual costs, which I would say, this example is an extremely low assessment, because we did not take into consideration the cost of the time for parole of the criminal or the cost for the prosecutors fee to

bring the case to trial or the cost for all the police officers, jailors, or clerical personnel etc.etc.

Next question, Did the real victim ever get compensated for her loss, did she ever get her \$350.00 back or any compensation for all the hassle to replace her lost personal effects or credit cards or identification ? The time spent in court? The answer is NO. Who benefitted from the crime ? The criminal got a year and a half of room and board at the taxpayers expense, the state benefitted from the deal, they collected the \$55,650.00 + from the taxpayers for the cost of housing the prisoner, the State also made out from the Federal money (about 300.00 per day) collected for another criminal put in Jail.

Did the taxpayer get a return from the \$55,650.00 + invested? Were the streets cleaned, the garbage picked up, or were the windows washed, or the grass cut at any Municipal Building or the victims house, or did needed maintenance at the victims house or anywhere else get done ?

So who are the real criminals in this country in the year of our Lord 2004? Is it the patriots and supposed “anti government- Militia, Common Law advocates, Christian Fundamentalists”, that are working to expose the fraud perpetrated by these Bar Association Esquire bankers, legislators, judges, attorneys, and lawyers and their Media pundits ?

Or, are the real Criminals those that hold the "Titles of Nobility of Esquire" and "Honors" (officer of the Court) who are operating for their own benefit and the benefit of the Queen of England and the Vatican, who control the Media, both Tv, Radio, and Print, and the Federal Reserve Banks, a foreign power that controls the credit of Congress and every Congressman and manipulates the Law thru government officials, who manipulate public policy (statutes) that controls the behavior of the people and the economy of the country ?

Or, are the criminals, the educated common people, that call themselves patriots? The media calls them "anti-government", or "tax protestors" or "right wing extremists" or the "Christian Right" or "Common Law Advocates" or, or, or, without defining who or what these people are all about or if they have legitimate arguments, just that they are "anti government" and not defining which government these people are against. Patriots are anti Defacto Government. Pro-REPUBLIC .

Bankers, Esquire legislators, attorneys, judges, lawyers, and law enforcement are anti De Jure government. Pro-Democracy

Who benefits from the Fraud? The common people or the people in the "SYSTEM" of De Facto Democratic government, the System of Federal Admiralty/Maritime International Commercial Bankruptcy Proceedings they call a "Civil Action". Disguised as the "Law of the Land."

Civil to who?