

## SECTION I A HANDBOOK FOR JURORS

".. That This Nation. Under God Shall Have A New Birth Of Freedom...!

Abraham Lincoln

The purpose of this booklet is to revive. a, Jefferson put it, 'The Ancient Principles,' It is not designed to promote lawlessness or to return to the jungle. The "Ancient Principles" refer to the Ten Commandments and the Common Law, The Common Law is, in simple terms, just plain common sense and has it, roots in the Ten Commandments,

In 1776 we came out of **BONDAGE** with **FAITH, UNDERSTANDING** and **COURAGE**. Even against great odds, and with much bloodshed, we battled our way to **achieve LIBERTY**. **LIBERTY** is that delicate area between the force of government and **FREEWILL** of man, **LIBERTY** brings **FREEDOM** of choice to work, to trade to go and live wherever one wishes; it leads to **ABUNDANCE**. **ABUNDANCE**, if made an end in itself, will result in **COMPLACENCY** which leads to **APATHY**. **APATHY** is the "let George do it" philosophy. This always brings **DEPENDENCY**. For a period of time, dependents are often not aware they are dependent. They delude themselves by thinking that they are still free - "We never had it so good." - "We can still vote, can't we? Eventually abundance diminishes and **DEPENDENCY** becomes known by its true nature: **BONDAGE!!!**

There are a few ways out of bondage. Bloodshed and war often result, but our founding fathers learned of a better way. Realizing that a **CREATOR** is always above and greater than that which He creates, they established a three vote system by which an informed citizenry can control those acting in the name of government. To be a good master you must always remember the true "pecking order" or chain of command in this nation:

1. GOD created man.
2. Man (that's you) created the Constitution...
3. Constitution created government.
4. Government created corporations etc.

The base of power was to remain in **WE THE PEOPLE** but unfortunately, it was lost to those leaders acting in the name of government, such as politicians, bureaucrats, judges, lawyers, etc.

As a result America began to function like a democracy instead of a **REPUBLIC**. A democracy is dangerous because it is a one-vote system as opposed to a Republic, which is a three-vote system: Three votes to check tyranny, not just one. American citizens have not been informed of their other two votes.

Our first vote is at the polls on Election Day when we pick those who are to represent us in the seats of government. But what can be done if those elected officials just don't perform as promised or expected? Well, the second two votes are the most effective means by which the common people of any nation on earth have ever had in controlling those appointed to serve them in government.

The second vote comes when you serve on a Grand Jury. Before anyone can be brought to trial for a capital or infamous crime by those acting in the name of government, permission must be obtained from people serving on the Grand Jury! **The Minneapolis Star and Tribune** in March 27, 1987, edition noted a purpose of the Grand Jury this way: "A grand jury's purpose is to protect the public from an overzealous prosecutor."

The third is the most powerful vote: this is when you are acting as a jury member during a courtroom trial. At this point, **"the buck stops"** with you! It is in this setting that each **JUROR** has **MORE POWER** than the President, all of Congress, and all of the judges combined! Congress can legislate (make law), the President or some other bureaucrat can make an order or issue regulations, and judges may instruct or make a decision, but no **JUROR** can ever be punished for voting "Not Guilty!" Any **JUROR** can, with impunity, choose to disregard the instructions of any judge or attorney in rendering his vote. If only one **JUROR** should vote "Not Guilty" for any reason, there is no conviction and no punishment at the end of the trial. Thus, those acting in the name of government must come before the common man to get permission to enforce a law.

## **YOU ARE ABOVE THE LAW!**

As a **JUROR** in a trial setting, when it comes to your individual vote of innocent or guilty, you truly are answerable only to **GOD ALMIGHTY**. The First Amendment to the Constitution was born out of this great concept. However, judges of today refuse to inform **JURORS** of their **RIGHTS**. **The Minneapolis Star and Tribune** in a news paper article appearing in its November 30, 1984 edition, entitled: **"What judges don't tell the juries"** stated:

*"At the time of the adoption of the Constitution, the jury's role as defense against political oppression was unquestioned in American jurisprudence. This nation survived until the 1850's, when prosecutions under the Fugitive Slave Act were largely unsuccessful because juries refused to convict."*

*"Then judges began to erode the institution of free juries, leading to the absurd compromise that is the current state of the law. While our courts uniformly state juries have the power to return a verdict of not guilty whatever the facts, they routinely tell the jurors the opposite."*

*"Further, the courts will not allow the defendants or their counsel to inform the jurors of their true power. A lawyer who made ... Hamilton's argument would face professional discipline and charges of contempt of court."*

*"By what logic should juries have the power to acquit a defendant but no right to know about that power? The court decisions that have suppressed the notion of jury nullification cannot resolve this paradox."*

*"More than logic has suffered. As originally conceived, juries were to be a kind of safety valve, a way to soften the bureaucratic rigidity of the judicial system by introducing the common sense of the community. If they are to function effectively as the 'conscience of the community,' jurors must be told that they have the power and the right to say no to a prosecution in order to achieve a greater good. To cut jurors off from this information is to undermine one of our most important institutions."*

*"Perhaps the community should educate itself. Then citizens called for jury duty could teach the judges a needed lesson in civics."*

This booklet is designed to bring to your attention one important way our nation's founders provided to insure that you, (not the growing tyranny of politicians, judges, lawyers, and bureaucrats) rule this nation. It will focus on the true power you possess as a **JUROR**, how you got it, why you have it, and remind you of the basis on which you must decide not only the facts placed in evidence but also the validity or applicability of every law, rule, regulation, ordinance, or instruction given by any man seated as a judge or attorney when you serve as a **JUROR**.

One **JUROR** can stop tyranny with a "**NOT GUILTY VOTE!**" He can nullify bad law in any case, by "HANGING THE JURY!"

*I am only one, but I am one. I cannot do everything, but I can do something. What I can do, I should do and, with the help of God, I will do!*

Everett Hale

*The only power the judge has over the JURY is their, ignorance!*

"WE THE PEOPLE," must relearn a desperately needed lesson in civics.

The truth of this question has been answered by many testimonies and historical events. Consider the following:

### **JURY RIGHTS**

*"The jury has a right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy."*

John Jay, 1st Chief Justice U.S. supreme Court,  
1789

*"The jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts."*

Samuel Chase, U.S. supreme Court Justice. 1796,  
Signer of The unanimous Declaration

*"The jury has the power to bring a verdict in the teeth of both law and fact."*

Oliver Wendell Holmes, U.S. supreme Court Justice, 1902.

*"The law itself is on trial quite as much as the cause which is to be decided."*

Harlan F. Stone, 12th Chief Justice U.S. Supreme Court, 1941.

*"The pages of history shine on instances of the jury's exercise of its prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge . . ."*

U.S. vs. Dougheny, 473 F 2nd 1113, 1139, (1972)

### **LAW OF THE LAND**

The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U. S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for a law which violates the Constitution to be valid. This is succinctly stated as follows:

"All laws which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void." *Marbury vs. Madison, 5 US (2 Cranch) 137, 174, 176, (1803)*

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." *Miranda vs. Arizona, 384 US 436 p. 491.*

"An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." *Norton vs. Shelby County* 118 US 425 p. 442

The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it.

"No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." *16 Am Jur 2d, See 177 late 2d, See 256*

## A SUMMARY OF THE TEN COMMANDMENTS

The **TEN COMMANDMENTS** represent **GOD'S GOVERNMENT OVER MAN! GOD** commands us for our own good to give up wrongs and not rights! **HIS** system always results in **LIBERTY** and **FREEDOM!** The Constitution and the Bill of Rights are built on this foundation, which provides for punitive justice. It is not until one damages another's person or property that he can be punished. The Marxist system leads to bondage and **GOD'S** system leads to **LIBERTY!** Read very carefully:

- |  |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. 'Thou shalt have no other gods before Me.                 | 5. Honor thy father and mother.       |
| 2. Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image.           | 6. Thou shalt not murder.             |
| 3. Thou shall not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain. | 7. Thou shalt not commit adultery.    |
| 4. Remember the Sabbath to keep it holy.                     | 8. Thou shall not steal.              |
|  | 9. Thou shall not bear false witness. |
|  | 10. Thou shalt not covet              |

Directly above the Chief Justice's chair is a tablet signifying the **TEN COMMANDMENTS**. When the Speaker of the House in the U.S. Congress looks up, his eyes look into the face of Moses. "The Bible is the Book upon which this Republic rests."

Andrew Jackson, Seventh President of the United States

*"The moral principles and precepts contained in the Scriptures ought to form the basis of all our civil constitutions and laws. All the miseries and evils which men suffer from vice. crime. ambition. injustice. oppression. slavery. and war. proceed from their despising or neglecting the precepts contained in the Bible."*

Noah Webster

## A SUMMARY OF THE COMMUNIST MANIFESTO

The Communist Manifesto represents a misguided philosophy, which teaches the citizens to give up their **RIGHTS** for the sake of the "common good," but it always ends in a police state. This is called preventive justice. Control is the key concept. Read carefully:

1. Abolition of private property.
2. Heavy progressive income tax.
3. Abolition of all rights of inheritance.
4. Confiscation of property of all emigrants and rebels.
5. Central bank.
6. Government control of Communications & Transportation.
7. Government ownership of factories and agriculture.
8. Government control of labor.
9. Corporate farms, regional planning.
10. Government control of education.

## GIVE UP RIGHTS FOR THE "COMMON GOOD"?

*Where the people fear the government you have tyranny where the government fears the people, you have liberty.*

Politicians, bureaucrats and especially judges would have you believe that too much freedom will result in chaos. Therefore, we should gladly give up some of our **RIGHTS** for the good of the community. In other words, people acting in the name of government, say we need more laws and more **JURORS** to enforce these laws --- even if we have to give up some **RIGHTS** in the process. They believe the more laws we have, the more control, thus a better society. This theory may sound good on paper, and apparently many of our leaders think this way, as evidenced by the thousands of new laws that are added to the books each year in this country. But, no matter how cleverly this Marxist argument is made, the hard fact is that whenever you give up a **RIGHT** you lose a **"FREE CHOICE"**!

This adds another control. Control's real name is **BONDAGE!** The logical conclusion would be, if giving up some **RIGHTS** produces a better society, then by giving up all **RIGHTS** we could produce the perfect society. We could chain everybody to a tree, for lack of **TRUST**. This may prevent a crime, but it would destroy **PRIVACY**, which is the heartbeat of **FREEDOM!** It would also destroy **TRUST** which is the foundation for **DIGNITY**. Rather than giving up **RIGHTS**, we should be giving up wrongs! The opposite of control is not chaos. More laws do not make less criminals! We must give up wrongs, not rights, for a better society! William Pitt of the British House of Commons once proclaimed, *"Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human liberty; it is the argument of tyrants; it is the creed of slaves,"*

## **INALIENABLE, [UNALIENABLE] OR NATURAL RIGHTS!**

**NATURAL RIGHTS ARE THOSE RIGHTS** such as **LIFE** (from conception), **LIBERTY** and the **PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS** e.g. **FREEDOM** of **RELIGION, SPEECH, LEARNING, TRAVEL, SELF-DEFENSE, ETC.** Hence laws and statutes which violate **NATURAL RIGHTS**, though they have the color of law, are not law but impostors! The U.S. Constitution was written to protect these **NATURAL RIGHTS** from being tampered with by legislators." Further, our forefathers also wisely knew that the U.S. Constitution would be utterly worthless to restrain government legislators unless it was clearly understood that the people had the right to compel the government to keep within the Constitutional limits.

In a jury trial the 'real judges are the **JURORS!** Surprisingly, judges are actually just referees bound by the Constitution! \*Lysander Spooner wrote as follows:

*"Government is established for the protection of the weak against the strong. This is the principal, if not the sole motive for the establishment of all legitimate government. It is only the weaker party that loses their liberties, when a government becomes oppressive. The stronger party, in all governments are free by virtue of their superior strength. They never oppress themselves. Legislation is the work of this stronger party; and if, in addition to the sole power of legislation, they have the sole power of determining what legislation shall be enforced. they have all power in their hands, and the weaker party are the subjects of an absolute government. Unless the weaker party have a veto, they have no power whatever in the government and ... no liberties ... The trial by jury is the only institution that gives the weaker party any veto upon the power of the stronger. Consequently it is the only institution that gives them any effective voice in the government, or any guaranty against oppression."*

Essay on the Trial by Jury

## **JURY TAMPERING?**

### **A JURY'S Rights, Powers and Duties:**

The Charge to the **JURY** in the First **JURY** Trial before the supreme Court of the U. S. illustrates the **TRUE POWER OF THE JURY**. In the February term of 1794, the supreme\* Court conducted a **JURY** trial and said "... it is presumed, that the juries are the best judges of facts; it is, on the other hand, presumed that the courts are the best judges of law. But still both objects are within your power of decision."

"You have a right to take upon yourselves to judge of both, and to determine the law as well as the fact in controversy."

State of Georgia vs. Brailsford, et al, 3 Dall. 1

"The **JURY** has an unreviewable and unreversible power . . . to acquit in disregard of the instructions on the law given by trial judge ..." (emphasis added)

U.S. vs. Dougherty, 473 F 2nd 1113, 1139  
(1972)

Hence, **JURY** disregard of the limited and generally conviction-oriented evidence presented for its consideration, **and JURY** disregard for what the trial judge wants them to believe is the controlling law in any particular case (sometimes referred to as "**JURY** lawlessness")" is not something to be scrupulously avoided, but rather encouraged. Witness the following quotation from the eminent legal authority above-mentioned: "Jury lawlessness is the greatest corrective of law in its actual administration. The will of the state at large imposed on a reluctant community, the will of a majority imposed on a vigorous and deter- mined minority, find the same obstacle in the local **JURY** that formerly confronted kings and ministers." (emphasis added)

U.S. vs. Dougherty, 473 F 2nd 1113, 1139  
(1972)

"Supreme is not capitalized in the Constitution, however Behavior is. Art. III.

\*Jury lawlessness means willingness to nullify bad law.

### **The Right of the JURY to be Told of Its Power**

Almost every **JURY** in the land is falsely instructed by the judge when it is told it must accept as the law that which is given to them by the court, and that the **JURY** can decide only the facts of the case. This is to destroy the purpose of a Common Law **JURY**, and to permit the imposition of tyranny upon a people.

"There is nothing 'more terrifying than ignorance in action."

Goethe - engraved on a plaque at the  
Naval War College

"To embarrass justice by multiplicity of law, or to hazard it by confidence in judges, are the opposite rocks on which all civil institutions have been wrecked."

Johnson- engraved in Minnesota State  
Capitol Outside the Supreme Court  
Chambers

"...the letter killeth but the spirit giveth life."

II Corinthians 3:6

"It is error alone that needs the support of government. Truth can stand by itself."

Thomas Jefferson

The **JURY'S** options are by no means limited to the choices presented to it in the courtroom. "The jury gets its understanding as to the arrangements in the legal system from more than one voice. There is the formal communication from the 'judge.' There is the informal communication from the total culture -- literature; current comment, conversation; and, of course, history and tradition."

U.S. vs. Dougherty, 473 F 2nd 1113, 1139 (1972)

### **LAWS, FACTS AND EVIDENCE!**

Without the power to decide what facts, law and evidence are applicable; **JURIES** cannot be a protection to the accused. If people acting in the name of government are permitted by **JURORS** to dictate any law whatever, they can also unfairly dictate what evidence is admissible or inadmissible and thereby prevent the **WHOLE TRUTH** from being considered. Thus if government can manipulate and control both the law and evidence, the issue of fact becomes virtually irrelevant. In reality, true **JUSTICE** would be denied leaving us with a trial by government and not a trial by **JURY!**

### **HOW DOES TYRANNY BEGIN? WHY ARE THERE SO MANY LAWS?**

Heroes are men of glory who are so honored because of some heroic deed. People often out of gratitude yield allegiance to them. Honor and allegiance are nice words for power! Power and allegiance can only be held rightfully by trust as a result of continued character.

When people acting in the name of government violate ethics, they break trust with **"WE THE PEOPLE."** The natural result is for **"WE THE PEOPLE"** to pull back power (honor and allegiance).

The loss of power creates fear for those losing the power. Fearing the loss of power, people acting in the name of government often seek to regain or at least hold their power. Hence, to legitimize their quest for control, laws and force are often instituted.

Unchecked power is the foundation of tyranny. It is the **JUROR'S** duty to use the **JURY ROOM** as a vehicle to stem the tide of oppression and tyranny: To prevent bloodshed by peacefully removing power from those who have abused it. The **JURY** is the primary vehicle for the peaceable restoration of **LIBERTY, POWER AND HONOR TO "WE THE PEOPLE!"**

### **YOUR VOTE COUNTS!**

Your vote of NOT GUILTY must be respected by all other members of the **JURY** - it is the **RIGHT** and the **DUTY** of a **JUROR** to Never, Never, Never yield his or her sacred vote - for you are not there as a fool, merely to agree with the majority, but as an officer of the court and a qualified judge in your own right. Regardless of the

pressures or abuse that may be heaped on you by any other members of the **JURY** with whom you may in good conscience disagree, you can await the reading of the verdict secure in the knowledge you have voted your own conscience and convictions - and not those of someone else.

## **YOU ARE NOT A RUBBER STAMP!**

By what logic do we send our youth to battle tyranny on foreign soil, while we refuse to do so in our courts? Did you know that many of the planks of the "Communist Manifesto" are now represented by law in the U.S.? How is it possible for Americans to denounce communism and practice it simultaneously.

The JURY judges the Spirit, Motive and Intent of both the law and the Accused, whereas the prosecutor only represents the letter of the law.

Therein lies the opportunity for the accomplishment of "LIBERTY and JUSTICE for ALL." If you and numerous other **JURORS** throughout the State and Nation begin and continue to bring in verdicts of NOT GUILTY in such cases where a **man-made** statute is defective or oppressive, these statutes will become as ineffective as if they had never been written.

*"If ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsels or your arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen."*

Samuel Adams

## **SECTION II GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH! PATRICK HENRY SHOCKED!**

Young Christian attorney Patrick Henry saw why a JURY of PEERS is so vital to FREEDOM! It was March 1775 when he rode into the small town of Culpeper, Va. He was totally shocked by what he saw! There, in the middle of the town square was a minister tied to a whipping post, his back laid bare and bloody with the bones of his ribs showing. He had been scourged mercilessly like JESUS, with whips laced with metal.

Patrick Henry is quoted as saying: *"When they stopped beating him, I could see the bones of his rib cage. I turned to someone and asked what the man had done to deserve such a beating as this."*

## **SCOURGED FOR NOT TAKING A LICENSE!**

The reply given him was that the man being scourged was a minister who refused to take a license. He was one of twelve who were locked in jail because they refused to take a license. A license often becomes an arbitrary control by government that makes a crime out of what ordinarily would not be a crime. IT TURNS A RIGHT INTO A PRIVILEGE!

Three days later they scourged him to death.

*This was the incident which sparked Christian attorney Patrick Henry to write the famous words which later became the rallying cry of the Revolution. "What is that Gentlemen wish? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take, but as for me, GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH!" Later he made this part of his famous speech at St. John's Episcopal Church in Richmond, VA.*

## **JURY OF PEERS**

Our forefathers felt that in order to have JUSTICE, it is obvious that a JURY of "PEERS" must be people who actually know the defendant. How else would they be able to judge motive and intent?

"PEERS" of the defendant, like the rights of the JURY have also been severely tarnished. Originally, it meant people of "equals in station and rank," (Black's 1910), "free- holders of a neighborhood," (Bouvier's 1886), or "A companion; a fellow; an associate. (Webster's 1828).

## **WHO HAS A RIGHT TO SIT ON A JURY?**

Patrick Henry, along with others, was deeply concerned as to who has a right to sit on a JURY. Listen to our forefather's wisdom on the subject of "PEERS."

## **MR. HENRY**

"By the bill of rights of England, a subject has a right to a trial by his peers. What is meant by his peers? Those who reside near him, his neighbors, and who are well acquainted with his character and situation in life." Patrick Henry, (**Elliot, The Debates in the Several State Conventions on the Adoption of the Federal Constitution**, 3:579).

Patrick Henry also knew that originally the JURY of PEERS was designed as a protection for Neighbors from outside governmental oppression. Henry states the following, "Why do we love this trial by jury? Because it prevents the hand of oppression from cutting you off ... This gives me comfort - that, as long as I have existence, my neighbors will protect me." (Elliot, 3:545, 546).

## **MR. HOLMES**

Mr. Holmes, from Massachusetts, argued strenuously that for JUSTICE to prevail, the case must be heard in the vicinity where the fact was committed by a JURY of PEERS. "... a jury of the peers would, from their

local situation, have an opportunity to form a judgment of the CHARACTER of the person charged with the crime, and also to judge the CREDABILITY of the witnesses." (Elliot 2:110)

*"The people are the  
masters of both Congress and courts, not to overthrow the  
Constitution, but to over- throw the men who pervert it!"*

Abraham Lincoln

## **MR. WILSON**

Mr. Wilson, signer of "The unanimous Declaration," who also later became a supreme Court Justice, stressed the importance of the JURORS knowing personally both the defendant and the witnesses. "Where jurors can be acquainted with the characters of the parties and the witnesses - where the whole cause can be brought within their knowledge and their view - I know no mode of investigation equal to that by a trial by jury: they hear everything that is alleged; they not only hear the words, but they see and mark the features of the countenance; they can judge of weight due to such testimony; and moreover, it is a cheap and expeditious manner of distributing justice. There is another advantage annexed to the trial by jury; the jurors may in- deed return a mistaken or ill-founded verdict, but their errors cannot be systematical. "(Elliot, 2:516).

## **FREEDOM FOR WILLIAM PENN**

"Those people who are not governed by GOD will be ruled by tyrants."

William Penn

Edward Bushell and three fellow JURORS learned this lesson well. They refused to bow to the court. They believed in the absolute power of the JURY, though their eight companions cowered to the court. The four JURORS spent nine weeks of torture in prison, often without food or water, soaked with urine, smeared with feces, barely able to stand, and even threatened with fines, yet they would not give in to the judge. Edward Bushell said, "My liberty is not for sale," though he had great wealth and commanded an international shipping enterprise. These "bumble heads", so the court thought, proved the power of the people was stronger than any power of government. They emerged total victors.

## THE FIRST AMENDMENT

The year was 1670, and the case Bushell sat on was that of William Penn, who was on trial for violation of the "Conventicle Act." This was an elaborate Act which made the Church of England the only legal church. The Act was struck down by their not guilty vote. **Freedom of Religion** was established and became part of the English Bill of Rights and later it became the First Amendment to the U. S. Constitution. In addition, the **Right to peaceful assembly** was founded, **Freedom of Speech**, and also habeas corpus. The first such writ of habeas corpus ever issued by the Court of Common Pleas was used to free Edward Bushell. Later this trial gave birth to the concept of **Freedom of the press**.

Had Bushell and his colleagues yielded to the guilty verdict sought by the judge and prosecutor, William Penn most likely would have been executed as he clearly broke the law.

### HE BROKE THE LAW!

Then there would have been no Liberty Bell, no Independence Hall, no city of Philadelphia, and no state called Pennsylvania, for young William Penn, founder of Pennsylvania, and leader of the Quakers, was on trial for his life. His alleged crime was preaching and teaching a different view of the Bible than that of the Church of England. This appears innocent today, but then, one could be executed for such actions. He believed in freedom of religion, freedom of speech and the right to peaceful assembly. He had broken the government's law, but he had injured no one. Those four heroic **JURORS** knew that only when actual injury to someone's person or property takes place is there a real crime. No law is broken when no injury can be shown. Thus there can be no loss or termination of rights unless actual damage is proven. Many imposter laws were repealed as a result of this case.

### IT IS ALMOST UNFAIR!

This trial made such an impact that every colony but one established the jury as the first liberty to maintain all other liberties. It was felt that the liberties of people could never be wholly lost as long as the jury remained strong and independent, and that unjust laws and statutes could not stand when confronted by conscientious **JURORS**. **JURORS** today face an avalanche of impostor laws. **JURORS** not only still have the power and the **RIGHT**, but also the **DUTY**, to nullify bad laws by voting "not guilty". At first glance it appears that it is almost unfair, the power **JURORS** have over government, but necessary when considering the historical track record of oppression that governments have wielded over private citizens.

### JEFFERSON'S WARNINGS!

In 1789 Thomas Jefferson warned that the judiciary if given too much power might ruin our REPUBLIC, and destroy our **RIGHTS!**

"The new Constitution has secured these [individual rights] in the Executive and Legislative departments: but not in the Judiciary. It should have established trials by the people themselves, that is to say, by jury." (emphasis added)

The Judiciary of the United States is the subtle corps of sappers and miners constantly working under ground to undermine the foundations of our confederated fabric." (1820)

" ... the Federal Judiciary; an irresponsible body (for impeachment is scarcely a scarecrow), working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little to-morrow, and advancing its noiseless step like a thief, over the field of jurisdiction, until all shall be usurped from the States, and the government of all be consolidated into one. . . . when all government . . . **in little as in great things, shall be drawn to Washington as the centre of all power, it will render powerless the checks provided of one government on another and will become as venal and oppressive as the government from which we separated.** (emphasis added 1821)

"The opinion which gives to the judges the right to decide what laws are constitutional and what not, not only for themselves in their own sphere of action, but for the legislative and executive also in their spheres, would make the judiciary a despotic branch.

"... judges should be withdrawn from the bench whose erroneous biases are leading us to dissolution. It may, indeed, injure them in fame or fortune; but it saves the Republic ..."

### **PROCLAIM LIBERTY!**

Inscribed on our hallowed LIBERTY BELL are these words "PROCLAIM LIBERTY THROUGHOUT ALL THE LAND UNTO ALL THE INHABITANTS THEREOF."

Lev. XXV X

"Government is not reason; it is not eloquence; it is force! Like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master."

George Washington

"Woe to those who decree unjust statutes and to those who continually, record unjust decisions, to deprive the, needy of justice and to rob the poor of My people of their rights..."

Isaiah 10: 1,2

### **TAKING THE PLUNGE!**

"My people are destroyed because of the lack of knowledge...!"

Hosea 4:6

"The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing."  
Edmund Burke 1729-1797

"If My people which are called by My name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek My face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from Heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land."

II Chron. 7:14

"We must obey GOD rather than men."

Acts 5:29

**WARNING:**

**THIS DOCUMENT MAYBE HAZARDOUS TO BAD LAWS.** Courts may not welcome or approve of these truths, neither are they to be construed as legal advice. Therefore, to act on these facts is to do so at your own risk or opportunity.

**END**

Assembled and formatted for the Grand Jury Study of the Republic of Rhode Island on Thursday, March 25, 2010 By: Kenneth DeLashmutt

**Get your own pocket sized copy of the Jury Handbook here (usually about \$1.00/copy):**

Quality reprints may be obtained from:

<http://infowars-shop.stores.yahoo.net/ciru.html>



Christian Patriots -- (714)449-9504 P.O. Box 521, Placentia, CA 92670

Whitten Printers -- (602) 258-6406, 1001 S. 5th St, Phoenix, AZ 85004

