

VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS BY SPECIFIC NEGATIVE AVERMENT

NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

From:

john-henry: doe Authorized Representative
for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE
YOUR ADDRESS HERE
CLAIMANT

B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE
who sometimes acts as
FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT,
WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE
224 S. FULTON STREET
WAUSEON, OHIO 43567
OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR

DATE: _____

Certified Mail/Confirmation of Delivery/Validation Notice # xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

This line Ariel 9 font **Bold** and **xxxx xxxx in green and separated as shown**

Re: Violations of john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE civil rights.

i, the flesh and blood man known as john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE hereinafter "CLAIMANT," do hereby affirm and declare that I am of legal age, have first-hand knowledge of the facts contained herein, am **YOUR LEVEL OF EDUCATION HERE**. Therefore, I certainly feel more than competent enough to make this statement of the facts in relation to the account referenced in this Affidavit of Specific Negative Averment.

Therefore, let it be known by those responsible for the corporate Trust entity known as **YOUR STATE HERE** British Accredited Registry (B.A.R.) severally, jointly, collectively "RESPONDENT" or "RESPONDENTS and any relevant parent or subsidiary company, including its Assigns, directors, shareholders, agents and affiliates, that I do hereby state that the following is, to the very best of my knowledge, true, correct and complete, presented in good faith, and not intended to mislead in any way.

1. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the RESPONDENTS that the members of the B.A.R. are not advocating the overthrow of our Government in violation under 18 USC §2385.

8 USC §2385 - Advocating overthrow of Government- Whoever knowingly or willfully advocates, abets, advises, or teaches the duty, necessity, desirability, or propriety of overthrowing or destroying the government of the United States or the government of any State, Territory, District or Possession thereof, or the government of any political subdivision therein, by force or violence, or by the assassination of any officer of any such government; or Whoever, with intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of any such government, prints, publishes, edits, issues, circulates, sells, distributes, or publicly displays any written or printed matter advocating, advising, or teaching the duty, necessity, desirability, or propriety of overthrowing or destroying any government in the United States by force or violence, or attempts to do so.

This paragraph must be indented left .5 – right .5 and **bold green font**

1A. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The American B.A.R. Association is not a branch of the, National Lawyers Guild Communist Party. The American B.A.R. Association is a 'Foreign Union.'

2. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The National Lawyers Guild is not the foremost legal bulwark of the Communist Party, its front organizations, and controlled unions.

3. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that since the inception of The American B.A.R. Association it has never failed to rally to the legal defense of the Communist Party and individual members thereof, including known espionage agents.

4. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The American B.A.R. Association has not consistently fought against national, State, and local legislation aimed at curbing the Communist conspiracy.

5. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The American B.A.R. Association has not been most articulate in its attacks upon all agencies of the Government seeking to expose or prosecute the subversive activities of the Communist network, including national, State, and local investigative committees, the Department of Justice, the FBI, and law enforcement agencies generally.

6. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that through The American B.A.R. Associations affiliation with the International Association of Democratic Lawyers, an international Communist-front organization, the National Lawyers Guild has constituted itself a foreign agent hostile to the interests of the United States.

7. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The American B.A.R. Association has run far afield to oppose the foreign policies of the United States.

8. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that The American B.A.R. Association members are not working in collusion with Israel; the British Empire; the Vatican; the Rothschild and Rockefeller Banking Empires; Congress and the Elite to undermine America.

9. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that all attorneys are ‘Agents of a Foreign Power’ who swear allegiance to that Foreign Power [i.e.] The Queen of England.
10. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that any member of The American B.A.R. Association has filed a written, ‘Notice of Appearance,’ admitting to the Court that he is a ‘Foreign Agent’ and he is requesting permission to represent a defendant in that For-Profit Corporate Court.
11. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that a contract with The American B.A.R. Association member lawyer gives him “your sovereign power of attorney for him to use it against you by admitting to the Judge that you will accept the jurisdiction and decisions of the For-Profit Corporate Court.
13. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that an American B.A.R. Association member lawyer’s allegiance is not to his client but always and only to the Court.
14. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association member lawyer, having previously taken an oath as a judicial officer of any State, to support the Constitution of the United States, has not engaged in insurrection or rebellion against the same.
15. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association members are not in violation of their oath of office by federal officials which includes all members of Congress. Which requires the removal of the office holder as well a prison term or fine for the offender?
16. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association members are not in violation of 5 U.S.C. 3331, which provides the text of the actual oath of office members of Congress are required to take before assuming office.
17. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association members are not in violation of 5 U.S.C. 3333 requires members of Congress sign an affidavit that they have taken the oath of office.
18. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association members are not in violation of 5 U.S.C. 7311 (1): “An individual may not accept or hold a position in the Government of the United States of the government of the District of Columbia if he advocates the overthrow of our Constitutional form of government...”
19. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that American B.A.R. Association members are not in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1918 provides penalties for violation of oath office described in 5 U.S.C. 7311 which include: (1) removal from office and; (2) confinement or a fine.
20. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that any powers, contracts, obligations or controls by any united states officials preclude them from evidencing their oaths of office and their bonds of insurance for public liability and in particular in the Constitution of United States.
21. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that any powers, statutes, ordinances, regulations, rules, and procedures contrary to several Acts of the U.S. Government or the government of **YOUR STATE HERE** are not null and void.
22. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that every government is not an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only having neither actuality nor substance. See *Penhallow v. Doane’s Administrators* (3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54), S.C.R. (1795)
23. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that every government is not an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only having neither actuality nor substance.

24. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that every government is not foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible.
25. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that government, a creature of the mind, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them."
26. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that government, a creature of the mind, has jurisdiction over the natural man/man.
27. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that every government is not an artificial person and is not foreclosed from parity with real people. *Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators* (3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54), S.C.R. (1795)
28. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that persistent violations fueled by ambition, oppression, usurpation, fear, foolishness, or corruption, which adversely impact the lives and freedoms of individuals, does not constitute an infringement, considering that the law serves as a standard of justice demanding redress for any harm or injury suffered.
29. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that before you, **insert name of the addressee here** B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE, assumed office you did not [willingly] swear an Oath to uphold, support, protect and defend the Constitution for the United States of America.
30. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that you; B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE have not signed an oath of office to "We the People" as opposed to a defacto oath of office to the CORPORATION.
31. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that in your capacity as B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE policy/code enforcer you are not working for a foreign CORPORATION.
32. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that while acting in the capacity of B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE you are not actually in fact a policy/code enforcer for the CORPORATION; not the original Republican Form of Government as in Article IV Section 4 whereas "the United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government."
33. **Intentionally left blank**
34. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that the state of **OHIO** government was given the authority to arbitrarily rule over the lives and liberties of the people.
35. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE has not devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, in violation of 8 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and Swindles.
36. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT,

WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE is not in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law. Whereas, whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

CLAIMANT sees no evidence that the Supreme Court, did not in a unanimous 9-0 ruling, make it explicit that agencies lack Sovereign Immunity and are subject to legal action by the public. see: *Department of Agriculture Rural Development Rural Housing Service v. Kirtz*, No. 22-846, App. 3rd Circ. (02/08/2024)

CLAIMANT no evidence that it cannot be construed that you B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE is participating with complete awareness, purpose, and malicious intent.

CLAIMANT sees no evidence that persistent violations fueled by ambition, oppression, usurpation, fear, foolishness, or corruption, which adversely impact the lives and freedoms of individuals, does not constitute an infringement, considering that the law serves as a standard of justice demanding redress for any harm or injury suffered.

CLAIMANT sees no evidence that before you, B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE assumed office you did not [willingly] swear an Oath to uphold, support, protect and defend the Constitution for the United States of America.

AUTHORITY FOR FINES (DAMAGES) CAUSED BY CRIMES BY B.A.R. CARD ATTORNEY JOHN DOE WHO SOMETIMES ACTS AS FULTON COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT JUDGE:

These damages (charged per count), in part were determined by the government itself for the violation listed.

VIOLATION OF OATH OF OFFICE	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571; 28 USC § 3002(15)	
ARMED ABUSE OF OFFICE	\$250,000

ARMED ABUSE OF AUTHORITY	\$200,000
ARMED USE OF EMERGENCY LIGHTING IN A NON-EMERGENCY	\$200,000
ARMED THREAT OF VIOLENCE	\$200,000
ARMED COERCION	\$200,000
DENIED PROPERTY WARRANT(S)	\$250,000
DENIED PROPER WARRANT(S)	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
DENIED RIGHT OF REASONABLE DEFENSE ARGUMENTS	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
DEFENSE EVIDENCE (RECORDS)	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
DENIED RIGHT TRUTH AND EVIDENCE	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
ARMED VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS	\$200,000
SLAVERY (FORCED COMPLIANCE TO CONTRACTS NOT HELD	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
DENIED PROVISIONS IN THE CONSTITUTION	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
ARMED TREASON (WAR AGAINST AMERICANS)	\$250,000
18 USC § 3571	
GENOCIDE AGAINST HUMANITY	\$1,000,000
18 USC § 1091	
APARTHEID	\$1,000,000
ARMED DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW	\$200,000
18 USC § 242	
EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	\$200,000
32 CFR 536.77 (A)(3)(VII)	
MENTAL ANGUISH ABUSE	\$200,000
42 CFR § 488.301	

PEONAGE (FELONY)	\$200,000
18 USC § 1581; 42 USC § 1994	
UNLAWFUL INCARCERATION	\$200,000
MALICIOUS PROSECUTION.....	\$200,000
DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER.....	\$200,000
SLANDER.....	\$200,000
LIBEL.....	\$200,000
ARMED TRESPASS	\$200,000
NEGLECT/FAILURE TO PROTECT/ACT	\$200,000
18 USC § 1621; 42 USC § 1986	
ARMED GANG PRESSING	\$200,000
ARMED LAND PIRACY/PLUNDER.....	\$200,000
UNAUTHORIZED BOND PRODUCTION.....	\$200,000
ARMED FORGERY	\$200,000
ARMED EMBEZZLEMENT.....	\$200,000
ARMED STALKING.....	\$200,000
ARMED IMPERSONATING A PUBLIC OFFICIAL	\$200,000
ACTING AS AGENTS OF FOREIGN PRINCIPLES.....	\$200,000
18 USC 219	
ARMED TORTURE	\$200,000
ARMED OPERATING STATUTES WITHOUT BOND.....	\$200,000
EXPLOITATION OF THE LEGAL JUSTICE MINORITY GROUP BY B.A.R. CLOSED UNION COURTS CIVIL RIGHTS.....	\$1,000,000
B.A.R. VIOLATION OF ANTI-TRUST LAWS	\$200,000
MISAPPROPRIATION OF TAXPAYER FUNDS	\$200,000
18 USC § 641-664	
VIOLATIONS OF THE UNIVERSAL	

DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS, RIGHTS; ARMED BREACH OF TRUST	\$200,000
ARMED DISTURBING THE PEACE	\$200,000
ARMED KIDNAPPING	\$200,000
18 USC § 1201	
ARMED MALFEASANCE/MALPRACTICE	\$200,000
18 USC § 1201	
ARMED MISREPRESENTATION/PERSONAGE	\$200,000
MISPRISION OF FELONY	\$500.00
18 USC § 4	
ARMED CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS OF PEOPLE.....	\$200,000
18 USC § 241	
ARMED CRIMINAL EXTORTION/ECONOMIC OPPRESSION	\$200,000
18 USC § 141; 18 USC § 872; 25 CFR § 11.417	
ARMED EXTORTION OF RIGHTS.....	\$200,000
TITLE 15	
ARMED ROBBERY	\$200,000
ARMED THEFT BY FORCED REGISTRATION	\$200,000
MAIL THREATS	\$5000
18 USC § 876	
MAIL FRAUD	\$10,000
18 USC § 1341	
ARMED VIOLATION OF LIEBER CODE AGAINST NON-COMBATANTS.....	\$200,000
ARMED WRONGFUL ASSUMPTION OF STATUS/STANDING.....	\$200,000
ARMED FALSIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS/RECORDS.....	\$10,000
18 USC § 1001; 26 USC § 7701(A)(1)	
ARMED FICTITIOUS OBLIGATIONS	\$200,000
18 USC § 514	

ARMED PERJURY \$2000
18 USC § 1621

ARMED SUBORDINATION OF PERJURY \$2000
18 USC § 1622

ARMED RACKETEERING (CRIMINAL, FELONY) \$200,000
18 USC 1961 THROUGH 1968

ARMED RACKETEERING (CIVIL) \$200,000

WAGES TAKEN TRIPLE SUSTAINED DAMAGES 18 USC § 1964(C)
=====

Let the RECORDS SHOW TO THE RESPONDENT that RESPONDENT accepts the CLAIMANTS ATTESTATION that he is not the enemy of the State of YOUR STATE or at war with the United States, neither is he engaging in insurrection against that of the United States.

Please take notice that the People are thankful that the Supreme Court has allowed justice to be reinstated by blocking the Chevron doctrine. This return to justice stops bureaucrats from coming forth with foolish and newly created schemes, as well as false law doctrines, in order to take property and rights from the People;

Please take notice that you, as a public servant, have agreed to be a Trustee as seen in the YOUR STATE Constitution;

ACCORDING TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

AMENDMENT I - Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Please take notice that the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE I - DECLARATION OF RIGHTS; SECTION 1, POLITICAL POWER: All political power is inherent in the people, and governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights; **SECTION 2, SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND** The Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.

Please take notice that the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE III SECTION 2: In all Cases affecting Ambassadors other public Ministers and Consuls, and those in which a STATE shall be a Party, the Supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction. In all other Cases before mentioned, the Supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both in Law and Fact, with exceptions and under such Regulations the Congress shall make.

“The right of trial by jury as heretofore enjoyed shall remain inviolate.”

***Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)**

“The liberty interest at issue in this case - the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this Court.”

***SEC v. Jarkesy*, 603 U.S. ____ (2024),**

“The Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause addressed remaining concerns about the processes that would attend trials before independent judges and juries. It provided that the government may not deprive anyone of "life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." As originally understood, this provision prohibited the government from “depriv[ing] a person of those rights without affording him the benefit of (at least) those customary procedures to which freemen were entitled by the old law of England.” *Sessions v. Dimaya*, 584 U.S. 148, 176 (2018) (GORSUCH, J., concurring in part and concurring in judgment) (internal quotation marks omitted); see *Erlinger*, 602 U.S., at 6-7). More than that, because it was "the peculiar province of the judiciary" to safeguard life, liberty, and property, due process often meant judicial process. 1 St. George Tucker, *Blackstone's Commentaries*, Editor's App. 358 (1803). That is, **if the government sought to interfere with those rights, nothing less than “the process and proceedings of the common law”** had to be observed before any such deprivation could take place. 3 J. Story, *Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States* §1783, p. 661 (1833) (Story). In other words, “due process of law” generally implie[d] include[d]... *judex* [a judge], regular allegations, opportunity to answer, and a trial according to settled course of judicial proceedings.” *Murray's Lessee*, 18 How., at 280.”

***Trump v. United States*, 604 U.S. ____ (2024)** “There is no immunity for unofficial acts.”

“Court of Record”, *Black's Law Dictionary* (4th)

“A court of record” is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it,

and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial.”

Common law: Black's Law Dictionary (4th)

“As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures, the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgment and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs; and, in this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England.”

Maxim of Law: “The main object of government is the protection and preservation of personal rights, private property, and public liberties, and upholding the law of God.” *American Maxim*.

Maxim of Law: “Punishment is due if the words of an oath be false.” *Black's*, 840.

Please take Notice that affiant demands you all answer the constitutional question:

QUESTION: "Where does the STATE OF MAINE Constitution grant you the authority to deprive CLAIMANT the right to trial by jury?"

Please take notice that as trustees and servants of the People, you may be found dissolving your own power, if you should attack the rights or property of the People, or hire or assign other entities, with the false belief, that you or they have the power to attack the People's rights or property.

Please take further notice that it is my demand, as one of the People that you immediately and openly declare you are using these unlawful acts, of violations of john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE civil rights, forming non-judicial tribunals, to infringe upon the rights of the people, as well as acting as imposters, using courts not of record, without constitutional due process. Further, you and your agents take all possible actions as Trustees to judicially block access to these unlawful cases. It is my demand, as one of the People that you, the Legislative body members immediately bring forth investigations into these unlawful acts;

Please take further notice that failing to immediately correct this issue will be considered a trespass against john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE's civil rights and the People and warring against the Constitutions you swore to uphold. Failure will be considered a violation of your

oath of office to which you swore, as well as a violation of the rights of the People you have vowed to protect. This notice is not an attempt to create a case, but is a guaranteed inherent right of the People, a duty of the People, to instruct and give remonstrance to ALL servants of ALL branches of government.

If you believe the People do not have the right to instruct you in these issues, please respond by affidavit within 7 days showing that the above-mentioned evidence is not correct or true. Lastly, this notice is sent in the peace and love of Christ, in hopes that you will immediately take action to stop and correct these actions that have been done without authority and lawful jurisdiction.

I, john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE of the "Body Sovereign" is presenting you with a NOTICE OF LIABILITY in the amount as defined under the COLOR OF LAW and opportunity to cure. If you do not rebut these truths and you remain in office, I will FILE A CLAIM in the U.S. District Court under common law at the Courthouse within close proximity to the wrongdoer(s); i am moving this civil suit, as the prosecutor, under article VII with more than twenty (\$20.00) dollars in controversy - under common-law - i wish a trial by jury. i do not want a magistrate's opinion. i do not want a judge's opinion. i do not want anybody's opinion i only want a jury's opinion. Cause of action - TRESPASS; ADMINISTER MY PROPERTY WITHOUT RIGHT. MATTER OF CONTROVERSY: TRESPASS; unlawful dissemination of confidential information unlawful access to stored communications, wiretapping, discrimination, fabricating evidence, false statements; constitution violations, civil rights violations, perjury; slander; mental anguish; assault and battery; deprivation of rights; conspiracy against rights under color of law at minimum;

john-henry: doe

john-henry: doe

Authorized Representative for

LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE

Sovereign, Sui Juris, Freeman on the Land

(street address here)