

From:
john-henry: doe
Authorized Representative for
LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE
YOUR ADDRESS HERE
CLAIMANT

To:
LIEUTENANT COLONEL JOHN DOE
who sometimes acts as SUPERINTENDENT
OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL
1970 W. BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43223

**IN THIS CASE THE CLAIMANT WAS STOPPED BY THE OHIO STATE PATROL
THEREFORE, THE ADDRESS IS TO THE OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL.
YOUR CASE MAY BE DIFFERENT WHEREAS YOU WILL HAVE TO
DETERMINE WHO STOPPED YOU AND GAVE YOU THE CITATION AND
MAKE THE APPROPRIATE ADDRESS CHANGES HERE.**

OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR

Re: Violations of john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE civil rights.

Certified Mail/Confirmation of Delivery/Validation Notice # 7015 1520 0001 4558 1904

This line Ariel 9 font **Bold** and **xxxx xxxx in green and separated as shown**

Date: _____

VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS BY SPECIFIC NEGATIVE AVERMENT

NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT\
NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

Public servants named herein are in violation of their oath of office: BRIAN SMITH who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER FULTON COUNTY - SWANTON POST; LT. ERICA JONES who sometimes act as LT. OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL FULTON COUNTY - SWANTON POST; COLONEL FRED A. JONES who sometimes acts as COLONEL OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL; LIEUTENANT COLONEL FRED J. JONES SOMETIMES who sometimes acts as SUPERINTENDENT OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL; LIEUTENANT COLONEL JOSHUA JONES who sometimes acts as ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL.

IN THIS CASE THE CLAIMANT WAS STOPPED BY THE OHIO STATE PATROL THEREFORE, THE ADDRESS IS TO THE OHIO STATE PATROL. YOUR CASE MAY BE DIFFERENT WHEREAS YOU WILL HAVE TO DETERMINE WHO STOPPED YOU AND GAVE YOU THE CITATION AND MAKE THE APPROPRIATE ADDRESS CHANGES HERE

This paragraph will be the names of the "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" these names will be in ALL CAPITAL LETTERS. THE NAMES WILL INCLUDE THEIR JOB TITLE.

hereinafter **"OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS."**

I, the flesh and blood man known as john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE, hereinafter "CLAIMANT," do hereby affirm and declare that I am of legal age, have first-hand knowledge of the facts contained herein, am educated, to HIGH SCHOOL. I am of sound mind and fully competent to make this statement of the facts in relation to the account referenced below.

Therefore, let it be known by those responsible for the corporate Trust entity known as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL (severally, jointly, BRIAN SMITH who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER FULTON COUNTY - SWANTON POST; LT. ERICA JONES who sometimes act as LT. OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL FULTON COUNTY - SWANTON POST; COLONEL FRED A. JONES SOMETIMES ACTING AS COLONEL OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL; LIEUTENANT COLONEL JOHN DOE who sometimes acts as SUPERINTENDENT OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL; LIEUTENANT COLONEL JOSHUA M. JOHNSON who sometimes acts as ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL. or **"OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS"** and any relevant parent or subsidiary company, including its Assigns, directors, shareholders, agents and affiliates, that I do hereby state that the following is, to the very best of my knowledge, true, correct and complete, presented in good faith, and not intended to mislead in any way.

1. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" that violations of john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE civil rights did not occur.
2. **Intentionally left blank**
3. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" that the Social Security Number normally assigned to persons of subject status constitutes a contract and accepts complicity that it has only been used due to the pressure of today's market place, whereby it is required by everyone and everyone as they have been mandated to request in law and that the CLAIMANT has no interest in it except to be the grantor and holder in due course. CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that JOHN H. DOE is not a fiction in evidence.
4. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the "OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL" or "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS that there is a contract between the CLAIMANT and the OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL" or "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS".

CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” has been injured ergo CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” and CLAIMANT have an enforceable contract.

5. CLAIMANT has not seen evidence that the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” has any authority over CLAIMANT.

IN THIS CASE THE CLAIMANT WAS STOPPED BY THE OHIO STATE PATROL THEREFORE, YOUR CASE MAY BE DIFFERENT WHEREAS YOU WILL HAVE TO DETERMINE WHO STOPPED YOU AND GAVE YOU THE CITATION AND MAKE THE APPROPRIATE NAME CHANGE HERE.

6. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS”, that the birth certificate is relevant to Sovereign Status and that this piece of paper through non-formal disclosure without the recipients full knowledge and consent that it constitutes property, ownership and subject status means that the contract is void ab initio and the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” in failing to rebut this point accepts this.

Furthermore, there is now tacit evidence on file of the living breathing sovereign through the scientific DNA of the LEGALLY LIVING BREATHING Authorized Representative known as john-henry: doe is not an inhabitant, resident, franchise, subject or ward of, property, chattel of any corporate or corporeal UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, Country, State, Sovereign Nation, municipal body, corporate City government, county government under any Authority. Furthermore, CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that a legislation department or agency created by such authorities, nor the jurisdiction of any employees, officers or agents derive any authority from them and that the CLAIMANT IS NOT a subject of any of them as all hidden contracts openly signed, agreed to by digital media, verbally or otherwise are now void ab initio.

7. “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” now leaves the CLAIMANT open to be able to claim all heirs and titles under the CESTUI QUE TRUST ACT 1666 and the CESTUI QUE VIE OF 1666 in that he may defend his title in the name of the trustee. *1 Cruise, Bouv. Philippi v. Phillippe*, 115 U.S. 151 (1885).

8. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” that any document he has ever signed nor any document which may denote her as a CITIZEN that can be used to compromise his Sovereign Status as no full written disclosure was provided freely without coercion, misrepresentation and binding in contract. Ergo the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” has not challenged the statement that the Passport did not provide full written disclosure about what applying for a passport meant and thus the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” agrees that it is NOT legally binding at all and does not represent the CLAIMANT as a lawful entity. Furthermore the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” has not challenged the subject status of the CLAIMANT in that he is not an inhabitant, resident, franchise, subject or ward of, property, chattel of any corporate or corporeal UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, Country, State, Sovereign Nation, municipal body, corporate City government, county government under any Authority.

Furthermore, CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that a legislation department or agency created by such authorities, nor the jurisdiction of any employees, officers or agents derive any authority from them and that the CLAIMANT IS NOT a subject of any of them as all hidden contracts openly signed, agreed to by digital media, verbally or otherwise are now void ab initio.

9. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” that there is a duty to perform as a Voter or register as a voter and that in so doing the CLAIMANT has voluntarily handed over sovereignty to the State or any other Body Politic.

10. CLAIMANT has no evidence from the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” that there is any obligation on her part to become a subject through the Zip Code and accepts her assertion that he is a free man on the land. The “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” in failing to rebut this point with the evidence that is unimpeachable thus accepts the CLAIMANT’S assertion that the Zip Code is merely used for identification only and that no expressly or implied adhesion contract is tied to her free status and that all benefits applied are waived save any common law rights under the United States Constitution, the state of OHIO and The Bill of rights. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that any use of semantics by those who wish to dominate or masquerade as Government by altering the definitions of words, acts or phrases, to suppose an advantage over the sovereign spirit soul and that the words, person, driver, mail, resident, motor vehicle, drivers, passenger, employee, income, business owner and many others imply in any way shape or form can affect whatsoever her freeman on the land status and sovereignty. The “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” thus accepts the assertion that no Statute applies and that he does not reside or work in any territory, regulations, or implied authority.

11. Furthermore, the CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that CLAIMANT is bound by the statutes and laws of THE UNITED STATES and STATE OF OHIO. 44a. 11a. CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL has conformed to the statutes and laws that bind CLAIMANT.

12. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that any powers, contracts, obligations or controls by any united states officials preclude them from evidencing their oaths of office and their bonds of insurance for public liability and in particular in the Constitution of United States.

13. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that any powers, statutes, ordinances, regulations, rules, and procedures contrary to several Acts of the U.S. Government or the government of OHIO are not null and void.

14. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that the OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL had any lawful right to seize his property and chattels. They have ignored repeated requests and appeals for the property to be lawfully returned.

15. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence from the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” about the true law of the land being supreme and they seem to be under the strict impression that law passed by government legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. They have failed to provide any evidence that it is not thoroughly unconstitutional. Furthermore the kidnapping and unlawful tort of trespass to retrieve bodily fluid for no apparent LAWFUL misdemeanor is not accepted from their third party intervenors, the findings of which have been returned Refused for Cause due to the onus being on the “OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS” who is the man and holder of responsible office to respond.

16. CLAIMANT has not seen evidence of a warrant whereas on or about the **DATE OF THE CITATION HERE; NAME AND TITLE OF THE OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR HERE**: who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER FULTON COUNTY - SWANTON POST trespassed without a warrant and without authority violating CLAIMANTS due process rights.

16A. CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence of the nature of the charge or charges. CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that due process has been served upon CLAIMANT. CLAIMANT has not seen or been presented any evidence that a man or woman doing business as a judge for the court is an impartial party to the proceedings.

17. CLAIMANT has set a Fee schedule of \$3000.00 per hour for each hour of unlawful detainment. The charge of \$1,000,000.00 (One Million and 00/100 Dollars) due to be paid for arrest, handcuff, transportation in chains, tort of trespass and false imprisonment has been offered to be waived only as an out of court settlement.

18. CLAIMANT has seen no evidence that his Revocation of Power of Attorney does not stand as Truth from the "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" as it pertains to anything that requires certification, license or registration and that fictional rules apply to fictions. "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" therefore accepts john-henry: doe as the Secured Party.

19. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that the Supreme Court, did not in a unanimous 9-0 ruling, make it explicit that agencies lack Sovereign Immunity and are subject to legal action by the public. See: *Department of Agriculture Rural Development Rural Housing Service v. Kirtz*, No. 22-846, App. 3rd Circ. (02/08/2024)

20. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that any involvement or collaboration in illegitimate tribunals by "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" that does not unlawfully strip individuals of their biological property without due process, trial by jury, or adherence to common law procedures is not an act of treason.

21. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that it cannot be construed that you; **NAME AND TITLE OF THE OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR** who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER are not participating with complete awareness, purpose, and malicious intent.

22. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that **NAME AND TITLE OF THE OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR** who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER has been officially authorized to partake in proceedings that encroach upon the rights of individuals.

23. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that before you; "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" assumed office you did not [willingly] swear an Oath to uphold, support, protect and defend the Constitution for the United States of America.

24. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that you; **NAME AND TITLE OF THE OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR** who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER AND THE OFFICERS OF THE OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL have signed an oath of office to "We the People" as opposed to a defacto oath of office to the CORPORATION.

25. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that in your capacity as **NAME AND TITLE OF THE OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATOR** who sometimes act as OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER policy/code enforcer you are not working for a foreign CORPORATION.

26. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that while acting in the capacity of OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL TROOPER; and employees/officers of the OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL you are actually in fact a policy/code enforcer for the CORPORATION; not the original Republican Form of Government as in Article IV Section 4 whereas "the United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government."

27. **intentionally left blank**

28. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that the state of OHIO government was given the authority to arbitrarily rule over the lives and liberties of the people.

29. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" have not devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, in violation of 8 U.S. Code § 1341 - Frauds and Swindles.

30. CLAIMANT sees no evidence that THE **YOUR STATE** HIGHWAY PATROL; and the "OATH OF OFFICE VIOLATORS" are not in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law. Whereas, whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

=====

Let the RECORDS SHOW TO THE RESPONDENT that RESPONDENT accepts the CLAIMANTS ATTESTATION that he is not the enemy of the State of **YOUR STATE** or at war with the United States, neither is he engaging in insurrection against that of the United States.

Please take notice that the People are thankful that the Supreme Court has allowed justice to be reinstated by blocking the Chevron doctrine. This return to justice stops bureaucrats from coming forth with foolish and newly created schemes, as well as false law doctrines, in order to take property and rights from the People;

Please take notice that you, as a public servant, have agreed to be a Trustee as seen in the **YOUR STATE** Constitution;

Please take notice ACCORDING TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT I - Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Please take notice that the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE I - DECLARATION OF RIGHTS; SECTION 1, POLITICAL POWER: All political power is inherent in the people, and governments derive their just powers from the

consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights;
SECTION 2, SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND The Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.

Please take notice that the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE III

SECTION 2: In all Cases affecting Ambassadors other public Ministers and Consuls, and those in which a STATE shall be a Party, the Supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction. In all other Cases before mentioned, the Supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both in Law and Fact, with exceptions and under such Regulations the Congress shall make.

Please take notice that as trustees and servants of the People, you may be found dissolving your own power, if you should attack the rights or property of the People, or hire or assign other entities, with the false belief, that you or they have the power to attack the People's rights or property.

Please take further notice that it is my demand, as one of the People that you immediately and openly declare you are using these unlawful acts, of violations of john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE civil rights, forming non-judicial tribunals, to infringe upon the rights of the people, as well as acting as imposters, using courts not of record, without constitutional due process. Further, you and your agents take all possible actions as Trustees to judicially block access to these unlawful cases. It is my demand, as one of the People that you, the Legislative body members immediately bring forth investigations into these unlawful acts;

Please take further notice that failing to immediately correct this issue will be considered a trespass against john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE's civil rights and the People and warring against the Constitutions you swore to uphold. Failure will be considered a violation of your oath of office to which you swore, as well as a violation of the rights of the People you have vowed to protect. This notice is not an attempt to create a case, but is a guaranteed inherent right of the People, a duty of the People, to instruct and give remonstrance to ALL servants of ALL branches of government.

If you believe the People do not have the right to instruct you in these issues, please respond by affidavit within 7 days showing that the above-mentioned evidence is not correct or true. Lastly, this notice is sent in the peace and love of Christ, in hopes that you will immediately take action to stop and correct these actions that have been done without authority and lawful jurisdiction.

I, john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE of the “Body Sovereign” is presenting you with a NOTICE OF LIABILITY in the amount as defined under the COLOR OF LAW and opportunity to cure. If you do not rebut these truths and you remain in office, I will FILE A CLAIM in the U.S. District Court under common law at the Courthouse within close proximity to the wrongdoer(s); i am moving this civil suit, as the prosecutor, under article VII with more than twenty (\$20.00) dollars in controversy - under common-law - i wish a trial by jury. i do not want a magistrate’s opinion. i do not want a judge’s opinion. i do not want anybody’s opinion i only want a jury’s opinion. Cause of action - TRESPASS; ADMINISTER MY PROPERTY WITHOUT RIGHT; MATTER OF CONTROVERSY; TRESPASS; unlawful dissemination of confidential information unlawful access to stored communications, wiretapping, discrimination, fabricating evidence, false statements; constitution violations, civil rights violations, perjury; slander; mental anguish; assault and battery; deprivation of rights; conspiracy against rights under color of law at minimum;

I, john-henry: doe Authorized Representative for legal fiction JOHN H. DOE of the “Body Sovereign” hereby command you to resign within 10 days or else face the said consequences.

According to the United States Constitution Article III §3 the penalties for treason is death or life in prison.

I, a lawful part of the “Body Sovereign” hereby command you to resign within 10 days or else face the said consequences.

john-henry: doe
john-henry: doe
Authorized Representative for
LEGAL FICTION JOHN H. DOE
Sovereign, Sui Juris, Freeman on the Land
(street address here)

Attachment: ticket/citation #OHP89005070320242122